	Case 2:25-cv-01006-DJC-CSK Docume	nt 20	Filed 08/25/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	DARRELL H. PETTUS,	No	. 2:25-cv-1006 D	JC CSK P
12	Plaintiff,			
13	V.	<u>OR</u>	<u>DER</u>	
14	J. CROWLEY,			
15	Defendant.			
16				
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking			
18	relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate			
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
20	On July 15, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations			
21	herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any			
22	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen			
23	days. Plaintiff did not file objections to the findings and recommendations.			
24	Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff's copy of the findings and			
25	recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's			
26	responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant			
27	to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully			
28	effective.			

Case 2:25-cv-01006-DJC-CSK Document 20 Filed 08/25/25 Page 2 of 2

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the Magistrate Judge's analysis. In addition, having considered the factors described in Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992) the Court finds that dismissal is appropriate.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 19) are adopted in full;

2. This action is dismissed without prejudice; and

3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **August 22, 2025**

Hon. Daniel **J**alabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

/pett25cv1006.802